Available online at http://www.ijims.com ISSN: 2348 – 0343

A Study on Financial Performance of Hindustan Petroleum Corporation Limited

C. Karthick and P.Kasthuri*

Dr.N.G.P College of Arts and Science, Coimbatore, India *Corresponding author: P. Kasthuri

Abstract

The oil and Gas sector is one of the important sector among six crore industries in India. It has its strategic importance and plays a pivotal role in influencing the economy of our country. The foundation of the oil & Gas industry in India was laid by the industrial policy Resolution, 1954, when the government announced that petroleum would be the core sector industry. India is the fourth largest energy consumer (2013) of oil & gas in the world, accounting for 37% of total energy consumption. The country has 5.7 billion barrels of proven oil reserves. It has 47.8 trillion cubic feet (TCF) of gas reserves and produced 33.7 billion cubic meter (BCM) of gas in 2013. Hindustan Petroleum Corporation Limited (HPCL) is an Indian state-owned oil and natural gas company headquarters at Mumbai, Maharashtra and with Navratna status. HPCL has been ranked at 260th in the Fortune Global 500 rankings of the world's biggest corporation (2013) and 4th among India's companies for the year 2012. In this paper a study has been made on the profitability, solvency and the liquidity position of Hindustan Petroleum Corporation Ltd.

Keywords: Petroleum industry, Hindustan Petroleum Corporation, Profitability, Liquidity, Solvency

Introduction

The oil and Gas sector is one of the important sector among six crore industries in India. It has its strategic importance and plays a pivotal role in influencing the economy of our country. The foundation of the oil & Gas industry in India was laid by the industrial policy Resolution, 1954, when the government announced that petroleum would be the core sector industry. India is the fourth largest energy consumer (2013) of oil & gas in the world, accounting for 37% of total energy consumption. The country has 5.7 billion barrels of proven oil reserves. It has 47.8 trillion cubic feet (TCF) of gas reserves and produced 33.7 billion cubic meter (BCM) of gas in 2013.

Review of Literature

Agarwal N.K (1997) in his study has mainly processed the data published in stock exchange official directory, Bombay for the purpose of analysis. He conclude that majority of industries have failed to plan their working capital requirements properly. As a result, they often experience excess working capital and sometimes they have to face the problem of shortage of working capital.

Banerjee (1982) conducted a study on the corporate liquidity and profitability in India. The study related to the period 1970-71 to 1977-78. The purpose of the study was to analyze the trend in the liquidity position and their relationship with the literature would be of immense help to the researcher in gaining an insight into the selected problem. The researcher aims a good background to understanding the problem by reviewing certain studies. The study concluded that for some industry/ industry groups the risk in liquidity will lead to arise in profitability and vice-versa, there are others where increase in liquidity is associated with a decline in profitability.

Sharma and Reddy (1986) made a study on the liquidity position of the Nizam Sugar Factories Limited (NSF) during the year 1972-73 to 1981-82 to identify the factors influencing the liquidity. The study concluded that the major element the liquidity position of the firm were the government policies with respect to the input and output as well.

Statement of the Problem

The financial statement is a mirror, which reflects the financial position and operational strength and weakness of concern. But a mere look at the financial statement will not reveal some crucial information.

To bring out the hidden information, financial statements over a period is to be studied. Hence the study is conducted to evaluate the financial statements of Hindustan Petroleum Limited and to obtain a better understanding of the company position and performance. A financial analysis reveals the

financial strength and weakness of the firm by properly establishing the relationship between the item of balance sheet and profit and loss account. The study is also conducted to find out the company's efficiency in the management of its assets.

Objectives

- 1. To analyze the solvency position & assets management of the company.
- 2. To evaluate the profitability of the company.
- 3. To study the liquidity position of the company.

Scope of the Study

The scope of the study consists of analyzing the liquidity and solvency position of the company. The researcher also studies the profitability of the Hindustan Petroleum Limited.

Methodology

The study is based on the secondary data. The secondary data is collected from the published Annual Reports of Hindustan Petroleum.

Period Of Study

The present study covers of 5 Years i.e from 1st April 2009 to 31st March 2014.

Tools used for Analysis

The researcher has analysed the financial statements of Hindustan Petroleum Corporation Limited with the help of

- 1. Ratio Analysis
- 2. Common-Size Statement

Limitations of the Study

- 1. The analysis was based on the secondary data provided by the financial statements pertaining to various year.
- 2. The study is limited to given period of 5 years.
- 3. Any change takes place in the middle of the accounting years cannot be accounted for because only the figures at the end are taken into account.
- 4. The external factors that affected the financial performance of the company have not been given much importance.

Company Profile

Hindustan Petroleum Corporation Limited (HPCL) is an Indian state-owned oil and natural gas company headquarters at Mumbai, Maharashtra and with Navratna status. HPCL has been ranked at 260th in the Fortune Global 500 rankings of the world's biggest corporation (2013) and 4th among India's companies for the year 2012. HPCL has about 25% marketing share in India among PSU and a strong marketing infrastructure. The President of India owns 51.11% shares in HPCL.

HPCL was incorporated in 1947 after the takeover and merge of Erstwhile Esso standard and Lube India Limited by the Esso (Acquisition of Undertakings in India) Act 1974. Caltex Oil Refining (India) Ltd- CORIL was taken over by government. of India. In 1976 and merged with HPCL in 1978 by the CORIL-HPCL Amalgamation Order, 1978. Kosan Gas company was merged with HPCL in 1979 by the Kosangas Company Act, 1979.

Analysis and Interpretations

The secondary data collected from various sources were analysed using various tools and the interpretations were arrived. Current ratio and liquid ratio was calculated to know the liquidity and the solvency position of the firm. Gross profit and Net profit was calculated to know the profitability of the firm.

Current Ratio

| S.NO | Year | Current Assets | Current Liabilities | Ratio |
|------|-----------|----------------|---------------------|-------|
| 1 | 2009-2010 | 25900.31 | 16555.11 | 1.56 |
| 2 | 2010-2011 | 29593.21 | 34714.81 | 0.85 |
| 3 | 2011-2012 | 36759.74 | 42700.36 | 0.86 |
| 4 | 2012-2013 | 38230.64 | 43262.65 | 0.88 |
| 5 | 2013-2014 | 39736.78 | 35307.26 | 1.13 |

It could be seen from the Table that the Current assets of the company have been increased during the study period and the current liabilities of the company have also increased. A decrease in the current liability is noted in the year 2013-2014.

Liquid Ratio

| S.NO | Year | Liquid Assets | Current Liabilities | Ratio |
|------|-----------|---------------|---------------------|-------|
| 1 | 2009-2010 | 8062.72 | 16555.11 | 0.48 |
| 2 | 2010-2011 | 9968.69 | 34714.81 | 0.28 |
| 3 | 2011-2012 | 6678.61 | 42700.36 | 0.16 |
| 4 | 2012-2013 | 7443.69 | 43262.65 | 0.17 |
| 5 | 2013-2014 | 5500.66 | 35307.26 | 0.16 |

It is clear from the above Table that the Liquid assets of the company have been decreased during the study period and current liabilities of the company have increased. Liquid ratio was highest in the year 2009-2010 and lowest in the year 2011-2012. The Liquid ratio was below standard norm.

Gross Profit Ratio

| S.NO | Year | Gross Profit | Net Sales | Ratio |
|------|-----------|--------------|-----------|-------|
| 1 | 2009-2010 | 35870.10 | 101347.51 | 35.39 |
| 2 | 2010-2011 | 37530.90 | 123772.42 | 30.32 |
| 3 | 2011-2012 | 29321.70 | 178139.23 | 16.46 |
| 4 | 2012-2013 | 34089.80 | 206529.34 | 16.51 |
| 5 | 2013-2014 | 48039.50 | 223036.67 | 21.54 |

The table concludes that the gross profits of the company show the increased trend during the study period and the sales also increased during the study period. The gross profit ratio is high (35.39%) during the period 2009-2010 and it was low (16.64%) in the year 2011-2012.

Net Profit Ratio

| S.NO | Year | Net Profit | Net Sales | Ratio |
|------|-----------|------------|-----------|-------|
| 1 | 2009-2010 | 1301.37 | 101347.51 | 1.28 |
| 2 | 2010-2011 | 1539.01 | 123772.42 | 1.24 |
| 3 | 2011-2012 | 1219.24 | 178139.23 | 0.68 |
| 4 | 2012-2013 | 1474.56 | 206529.34 | 0.71 |
| 5 | 2013-2014 | 1733.77 | 223036.67 | 0.78 |

It can be noticed from the Table that the Net Profits of the company have been increased and the sales of the company have also increased. Net profit ratio was highest (1.28 %) in the year 2009-2010 and lowest (0.68%) in the year 2011-2012.

Common Size Statement For The Years 2013 & 2014

| Particulars | 31.3 | 31.3.2013 | | 31.3.2014 | |
|---------------------------|----------|------------|----------|------------|--|
| | Rs | percentage | Rs | Percentage | |
| Current Assets : | | | | | |
| Inventories | 16438.70 | 21.56 | 18775.41 | 24.20 | |
| Cash & Bank balance | 147.13 | 0.19 | 34.71 | 0.04 | |
| Loans & Advances | 14082.91 | 18.47 | 10007.90 | 12.90 | |
| Current Investments | 2360.86 | 3.09 | 5124.04 | 6.61 | |
| Trade Receivables | 4935.04 | 6.47 | 5465.95 | 7.05 | |
| Other Current Assets | 266.00 | 0.35 | 328.77 | 0.42 | |
| Total Current Assets (A) | 38230.64 | 50.13 | 39736.78 | | |
| Fixed Assets : | | | | | |
| Tangible Assets | 22441.67 | 29.43 | 25797.19 | 33.25 | |
| Intangible Assets | 107.03 | 0.14 | 115.05 | 0.15 | |
| Capital Work-in-Progress | 5172.87 | 6.78 | 4585.56 | 5.91 | |
| Non-Current Investments | 8266.07 | 10.84 | 5735.83 | 7.39 | |
| Long-Term Loans &Advances | 1937.70 | 2.54 | 1461.42 | 1.88 | |
| Other non-Current Assets | 88.75 | 0.14 | 146.26 | 0.2 | |
| Total Fixed Assets (B) | 38014.09 | 49.87 | 37841.31 | 48.78 | |
| Total Assets (A+B) | 76244.73 | 100 | 77578.09 | 100 | |
| Current Liabilities : | | | | | |
| Short-Term Borrowings | 23510.54 | 30.84 | 16375.17 | 21.11 | |
| Trade Payable | 11071.98 | 14.52 | 10651.39 | 13.73 | |

| Short-Term Provisions | 6879.59 | 9.02 | 6538.72 | 8.43 |
|---------------------------------|----------|-------|----------|-------|
| Other Current Liabilities | 1800.54 | 2.36 | 1741.98 | 2.25 |
| Total Current Liabilities (C) | 43262.65 | 56.74 | 35307.26 | 45.52 |
| Long Term Liabilities : | | | | |
| Share capital | 339.01 | 0.44 | 339.01 | 0.44 |
| Reserves and Surplus | 13387.39 | 17.56 | 14673.15 | 18.91 |
| Long-Term Borrowings | 8947.18 | 11.73 | 15554.88 | 20.05 |
| Deferred Tax | 3598.35 | 4.72 | 3908.43 | 5.03 |
| Long – term Provisions | 498.96 | 0.66 | 587.66 | 0.76 |
| Other Long Term Liabilities | 6211.19 | 8.15 | 7207.70 | 9.29 |
| Total Long term Liabilities (D) | 32982.08 | 43.26 | 42270.83 | 54.48 |
| Total Liabilities (C+D) | 76244.73 | 100 | 77578.09 | 100 |

During 2013The current asset of the company major portion 50.13 percent of total assets, followed by fixed assets 49.87 percent. Current liabilities are more than current assets.

During 2014 the current assets of the company was more than the fixed assets and it can be expressed as percentage of 51.22 and 48.78 respectively.

Findings of the study

- 1. Current assets of the company have been increased during the study period and the current liabilities of the company have also increased. A decrease in the current liability is noted in the year 2013-2014.
- 2. Liquid assets of the company have been decreased during the study period and current liabilities of the company have increased. Liquid ratio was highest in the year 2009-2010 and lowest in the year 2011-2012. The Liquid ratio was below standard norm.
- 3. gross profits of the company show the increased trend during the study period and the sales also increased during the study period. The gross profit ratio is high (35.39%) during the period 2009-2010 and it was low (16.64%) in the year 2011-2012.
- 4. Net Profits of the company have been increased and the sales of the company have also increased. Net profit ratio was highest (1.28 %) in the year 2009-2010 and lowest (0.68%) in the year 2011-2012.

Suggestions and Recommendations

1. The company should maintain adequate current assets and liquid assets to meet its short term obligation. It should hold up the more funds in the current assets. The company should adhere the standard norms for maintaining short term solvency.

2. The long term solvency position of the company was satisfactory. The company has to adhere standard norms to maintain a healthy solvency position.

3. The company should minimize their expenses, so that they can maintain their liquidity position in a safe zone.

Conclusion

India has been among the world's fastest growing economies. With expanding economy comes an increasing demand for energy and, if current trends continue, India will be the world's third largest energy consumer by 2020. Due to the expected strong growth in demand, India's dependency on oil imports is likely to increase further. Rapid economic growth is leading to greater outputs, which in turn is increasing the demand of oil for production and transportation.

References

Web sites: www.googlescholar.com, www.wikipedia.com